arXiv:math/0312528v1 [math.DG] 31 Dec 2003

ON ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE MABUCHI ENERGY FUNCTIONAL [1]

D.H. Phong* and Jacob Sturm†

* Department of Mathematics
Columbia University, New York, NY 10027

† Department of Mathematics
Rutgers University, Newark, NJ 07102

1 Introduction

Many canonical geometric structures have been found to be closely related to stability in the sense of geometric invariant theory. For the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics, this is the classical conjecture of Yau [14, 15, 16]. The necessity of several notions of stability has been established in this case by Tian [12] and by Donaldson [3]. In the variational approach, the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics can be reduced to the behavior of energy functionals [12]. Of particular importance is the Futaki energy functional $F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi)$ [4, 2]

$$F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi) = -\frac{1}{(n+1)V}\int_X \phi \sum_{i=0}^{n} \omega_0^i \omega_\phi^{n-i} \tag{1.1}$$

and the Mabuchi energy functional $\nu_{\omega_0}(\phi)$ [5, 1]

$$\nu_{\omega_0}(\phi) = \frac{1}{V}\int_X \{(\ln\frac{\omega_\phi}{\omega_0})\omega_\phi^n - \phi(Ric(\omega_0)\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\omega_0^i\omega_\phi^{n-1-i} - \frac{\mu(X)}{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\omega_0^i\omega_\phi^{n-i})\} \tag{1.2}$$

Here X is a compact complex manifold of dimension n, ω_0 is a reference Kähler form, $\omega_\phi = \omega_0 + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\phi$, $Ric(\omega_0) = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial \ln \omega_0^n$ is the Ricci curvature of the Kähler form ω_0, V is the volume of X with respect to ω_0, and $\mu(X)$ is the average scalar curvature

$$\mu(X) = \frac{1}{V}\int_X n\, Ric(\omega_0)\wedge\omega_0^{n-1} \tag{1.3}$$

In terms of the functional $J_{\omega_0}(\phi)$ of Aubin and Yau, $F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi)$ can also be recast as

$$F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi V}\int_X \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{(i+1)}{(n+1)}\partial\phi\wedge\bar\partial\phi\wedge\omega_\phi^{n-i-1}\wedge\omega_0^i - \frac{1}{V}\int_X \phi\omega_0^n = J_{\omega_0}(\phi) - \frac{1}{V}\int_X \phi\omega_0^N \tag{1.4}$$

---

[1] Research supported in part by National Science Foundation grants DMS-98-00783 and DMS-01-00410

For $\omega_0 \in c_1(X)$, the critical points of both the functional $F_{\omega_0}(\phi)$ defined by

$$F_{\omega_0}(\phi) = F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi) - \ln(\frac{1}{V}\int_X e^{h_{\omega_0}-\phi}\omega_0^n), \quad Ric(\omega_0) - \omega_0 \equiv \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial h_{\omega_0} \tag{1.5}$$

and the Mabuchi functional $\nu_{\omega_0}(\phi)$ give Kähler-Einstein metrics. Thus any direct relation between stability and the behavior of these functionals would be valuable. Some progress in this direction can be found in [12][17][13][11].

In the case of complex curves, the asymptotic behavior of $F^0_{\omega_0}$ has been derived by Paul [7]. He obtained the formula (3.1) listed below. This formula turns out to be related to Mumford's algebraic formula [6], and confirms in this case the close relation between energy functionals and Chow-Mumford stability. The purpose of the present paper is to determine, also in the case of curves, the asymptotic behavior of the Mabuchi energy functional $\nu_{\omega_0}(\phi)$.

Our set up is the following. Let L be a very ample line bundle on X. Then X can be imbedded into $\mathbf{CP}^N$ by

$$X \ni z \to [S_0(z), \cdots, S_N(z)] \tag{1.6}$$

where $S_0(z), \cdots, S_N(z)$ is a basis for the space $H^0(X, L)$ of holomorphic sections of L. The line bundle L corresponds then to the restriction to X of the hyperplane bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{CP}^N}(1)$ over $\mathbf{CP}^N$. Stability in the sense of geometric invariant theory is a property of the action of $SL(N+1)$ acting on $H^0(X, L)$ by change of bases $[S_0(z), \cdots, S_N(z)]$. It suffices actually to consider one-parameter subgroups $\sigma_t \subset SL(N+1, \mathbf{C})$, which can be assumed to act diagonally

$$\sigma_t \cdot S = (t^{a_0}S_0(z), \cdots, t^{a_N}S_N(z)), \quad a_0 + \cdots + a_N = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Under this action, X acquires a one-parameter family of Kähler metrics

$$\omega_t = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial \ln ||\sigma_t \cdot S||^2, \quad ||\sigma_t \cdot S||^2 = \sum_{j=0}^N |t|^{2a_j}|S_j(z)|^2, \tag{1.8}$$

which are the restrictions to $\sigma_t \cdot X$ of the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbf{CP}^N$. In terms of potentials, $\omega_t = \omega_0 + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\,\phi$, where $\omega_0 = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial \ln ||S||^2$ and ϕ is defined by

$$\phi(z) = \ln\frac{||\sigma_t \cdot S||^2}{||S||^2} = \ln\frac{\sum_{j=0}^N |t|^{2a_j}|S_j(z)|^2}{\sum_{j=0}^N |S_j(z)|^2}, \tag{1.9}$$

All the expressions above are valid in any local trivialization z of the line bundle L, with $|S_j(z)|$ being just the absolute value of the complex number $S_j(z)$ in such a trivialization. The issue which we address here is the asymptotic behavior of $\nu_{\omega_0}(\phi)$ as $|t| \to 0^+$. Our main result is the following, with the notation to be explained in detail in §2:

Theorem 1 *Assume that X has dimension $n = 1$. Then the asymptotic behavior of the Mabuchi energy functional under the action of a one-parameter subgroup of $SL(N+1)$ is given by*

$$\nu_{\omega_0}(\phi) = \ln \frac{1}{|t|} \sum_{zeroes\ of\ S_N} \frac{1}{V} \{2q_0 - \mu \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} p_\alpha^2 (m_\alpha - m_{\alpha+1})\} + O(1) \tag{1.10}$$

Here q_0, p_α, and m_α refer to the Newton diagram of $\sigma_t \cdot S$ at each fixed zero of $S_N(z)$.

The main idea in the proof of Theorem 1 is a decomposition of a neighborhood of the zeroes of $S_N(z)$ into annuli, on each of which the integrand can be simplified to essentially the Green's function for the Laplacian. The method works well because the only singularity is a single power of $\ln 1/|t|$. More general methods for the evaluation of integrals depending on a holomorphic parameter t are in [10]. Related methods for estimating integral operators rather than the scalar integrals discussed here can be found in [8, 9].

2 Degeneracies of $\sigma_t \cdot S(z)$ and of ω_t

It is convenient to introduce the following notation

$$\phi = \ln \frac{|\sigma S|^2}{|S|^2} - 2a_N \ln \frac{1}{|t|}, \quad |\sigma S|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{N} |t|^{2q_j} |S_j(z)|^2, \quad |S|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{N} |S_j(z)|^2, \tag{2.1}$$

with the exponents q_j given by

$$q_j = a_j - a_N \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

The asymptotics of the energy functionals will be given by the degeneracies of $S_j(z)$ near the zeroes of $S_N(z)$. To describe them, let $z_0 \in X$ be a zero of $S_N(z)$, with $z_0 = 0$ in the local trivialization z of X. Let

$$S_j(z) = u_j z^{p_j} + O(z^{p_j+1}), \quad u_j \neq 0 \tag{2.3}$$

near 0. The Newton diagram of σS is defined to be the Newton diagram in $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$ defined by the points (p_j, q_j). Recall that the Newton diagram defined by a set of points (p_j, q_j) is the convex hull of the union of all upper quadrants $\{(p,q);\ p \geq p_j \text{ and } q \geq q_j\}$ with corner at (p_j, q_j). Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^{M}$ be the set of vertices of the Newton diagram. It is possible for several indices j to produce the same vertex $(q_j, p_j) = V_\alpha$, in which case we also introduce the notation $|u_\alpha|^2 = \sum_{(p_j,q_j)=V_\alpha} |u_j|^2$. Let $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{M}$ be the set of slopes, listed in *decreasing* order

$$\begin{aligned} &q_{\alpha-1} - q_\alpha + m_\alpha (p_{\alpha-1} - p_\alpha) = 0, \quad V_\alpha = (p_\alpha, q_\alpha), \\ &m_1 > m_2 \cdots > m_M. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We observe that there is a vertex V_0 on the q-axis, since $||S(z)||^2$ is never 0. Similarly, by construction of the q_j, there is a vertex V_M on the p-axis. This implies in particular that all the slopes $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^M$ are finite and strictly positive. It is convenient to introduce also the trivial slopes $m_0 = +\infty$ and $m_{M+1} = 0$ of the Newton diagram.

We also need the degeneracies of ω_t. In a local trivialization, we may write

$$\omega_t = \partial_z \partial_{\bar z} \ln |\sigma S|^2 \frac{idz \wedge d\bar z}{2\pi} = \frac{\sum_{0 \le j < k \le N} |t|^{2(q_j+q_k)} |S_k \partial_z S_j - S_j \partial_z S_k|^2}{2(\sum_{j=0}^M |t|^{2q_j} |S_j(z)|^2)^2} \frac{idz \wedge d\bar z}{2\pi} \tag{2.5}$$

The basic idea in our approach is to decompose a fixed neighborhood $\{|z| < 1\}$ of 0 into annuli of the form

$$|t|^{m_\alpha} \le |z| < |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}, \quad 0 \le \alpha \le M. \tag{2.6}$$

The advantage is that the term $|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}$ dominates all others in this annulus. More precisely, we have

$$|t|^{2q_j}|z|^{2p_j} \le |t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}, \quad 0 \le j \le M, \tag{2.7}$$

with the terms $|t|^{2q_j}|z|^{2p_j}$ matching for $|z| = |t|^{m_\alpha}$ and (p_j, q_j) on the face F_α^- linking $V_{\alpha-1}$ to V_α, and for $|z| = |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$ for (p_j, q_j) on the face F_α^+ linking V_α to $V_{\alpha+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |t|^{2q_j}|z|^{2p_j} &= |t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}, \quad \text{when } |z| = |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}, \quad (p_j, q_j) \in F_\alpha^+ \\ |t|^{2q_j}|z|^{2p_j} &= |t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}, \quad \text{when } |z| = |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}, \quad (p_j, q_j) \in F_\alpha^-. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, in the annulus (2.6), we have $c|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha} \le \sum_{j=0}^M |t|^{2q_j}|S_j(z)|^2 \le C|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}$ for suitable constants $c, C > 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \ln |\sigma S(z)|^2 &= \ln (|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2) + O(\frac{\sum_{(p_j,q_j) \ne V_\alpha} |t|^{2q_j}|S_j(z)|^2}{|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}}) \\ \frac{1}{|\sigma S|^2} &= \frac{1}{|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2} + O(\frac{\sum_{k \notin V_\alpha} |t|^{2q_k}|S_k|^2}{(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha})^2}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The following elementary lemma is useful in dealing with the type of error terms arising in the preceding expansions:

Lemma 1. *We have the following asymptotics*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|t|^{m_\alpha} \le |z| < |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}} |t|^{2(q_1 + \cdots + q_M - M q_\alpha)} |z|^{2(p_1 + \cdots + p_M - M p_\alpha)} \frac{d^2 z}{2\pi |z|^2} \\ &\qquad = \delta_{V_\alpha}(j_1, \cdots, j_M) \ln \frac{1}{|t|} (m_\alpha - m_{\alpha+1}) + O(1) \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where the Dirac function $\delta_{V_\alpha}(j_1, \cdots, j_M)$ is defined to be 1 if all the points (p_j, q_j), $j = 1, \cdots, M$, coincide with the vertex V_α and 0 otherwise. A similar statement holds for the *interval of integration* $|t|^{m_{\alpha-1}} \leq |z| < |t|^{m_\alpha}$*, with* $m_\alpha - m_{\alpha+1}$ *replaced by* $m_{\alpha-1} - m_\alpha$ *on the right hand side.*

Proof of Lemma 1. If $p_1 + \cdots + p_M - Mp_\alpha = 0$, then $q_1 + \cdots + q_M - Mq_\alpha \geq 0$ since all points (p_j, q_j) are in the Newton diagram. Since $q_j - q_\alpha + m_\alpha(p_j - p_\alpha) \geq 0$ for each j, we can have equality only if all points (p_j, q_j) are on the faces of the Newton diagram. But if they are on the faces, then $q_j - q_\alpha = 0$ since all points on a face must be on one side of a vertex. We can now evaluate the integral of $d^2z/2\pi|z|^2$ and verify the desired formula in this case. When $p_1 + \cdots + p_M - Mp_\alpha \neq 0$, the integral can be evaluated directly, giving

$$|t|^{2\sum_{j=1}^{M}(q_j - q_\alpha) + m_{\alpha+1}(p_j - p_\alpha)} - |t|^{2\sum_{j=1}^{M}(q_j - q_\alpha) + m_\alpha(p_j - p_\alpha)} \tag{2.11}$$

up to a multiplicative constant. This is $O(1)$. Q.E.D.

3 Asymptotics for the Futaki functional

The asymptotics of the Mabuchi functional will be derived by combining the asymptotics of the various terms in its definition (1.2). A first term is proportional to $F^0_{\omega_0}$, the asymptotics of which have been derived by S. Paul in [7], as we noted earlier. The formula obtained in [7] is the following

$$F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi) = \{2a_N + \frac{1}{V}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} p_\alpha^2 (m_\alpha - m_{\alpha+1})\} \ln\frac{1}{|t|} + O(1) \tag{3.1}$$

We give a different derivation of this result now, for the convenience of the reader and to illustrate the decomposition (2.6). The easiest way is to use the expression (1.4) of $F^0_{\omega_0}$ in terms of the J_{ω_0} functional. First, we observe that

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X \phi\,\omega_0 = -2a_N \ln\frac{1}{|t|} - \frac{1}{V}\int_X \ln\frac{|S_0(z)|^2 + \cdots + |S_N(z)|^2}{|t|^{2q_0}|S_0(z)|^2 + \cdots + |S_N(z)|^2}\omega_0 \tag{3.2}$$

The integrand is between 0 and $\ln|S_0|^2 + \cdots + |S_N(z)|^2/|S_N(z)|^2$. This last expression is independent of t, and has only logarithmic singularities in z. Thus its integral is finite, and $O(1)$ as $t \to 0$. It remains to estimate

$$J_{\omega_0} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi V}\int_X \partial\phi \wedge \bar\partial\phi \tag{3.3}$$

Evidently, we can restrict the integral to the region $|z| \leq 1$ around a fixed zero of $S_N(z)$. Since $|\partial_z \ln|\sigma S|^2| \leq C|z|^{-1}$ and $|\partial_z \ln|S|^2|$ is uniformly bounded, we may replace $\partial\phi \wedge \bar\partial\phi$ by $\partial\ln|\sigma S|^2 \wedge \bar\partial\ln|\sigma S|^2$ in the integrand. Thus the desired formula (3.1) is a consequence of the following

$$\int_{|t|^{m_\alpha} \leq |z| < |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}} \partial_z \ln|\sigma S|^2 \partial_{\bar z}\ln|\sigma S|^2 \frac{d^2z}{2\pi} = (\ln\frac{1}{|t|})\, p_\alpha^2 (m_\alpha - m_{\alpha+1}) + O(1) \tag{3.4}$$

Now the term

$$\partial_z|\sigma S|^2\partial_{\bar z}|\sigma S|^2 = \sum |t|^{2(q_j+q_k)}\partial_z S_j\, S_j^*\partial_{\bar z}S_k^* S_k \tag{3.5}$$

can be expanded near 0 as

$$p_\alpha^2|t|^{4q_\alpha}|z|^{4p_\alpha-2}(|u_\alpha|^2+O(|z|))+O(\sum_{(p_j,q_j;p_k,q_k)\neq(V_\alpha;V_\alpha)}|t|^{2(q_j+q_k)}|z|^{2(p_j+p_k)-2}) \tag{3.6}$$

Combined with the expansion (2.7) for $|\sigma S|^{-2}$, this implies

$$\frac{\partial_z|\sigma S|^2\partial_{\bar z}|\sigma S|^2}{|\sigma S|^4} = \frac{p_\alpha^2+O(|z|)}{|z|^2}+O(\frac{1}{|t|^{4q_\alpha}|z|^{4p_\alpha}}\sum_{(p_j,q_j;p_k,q_k)\neq(V_\alpha;V_\alpha)}|t|^{2(q_j+q_k)}|z|^{2(p_j+p_k)-2}) \tag{3.7}$$

Integrating over the annulus $|z|^{m_\alpha}\leq|z|<|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$, we find that the above error terms all contribute $O(1)$ by Lemma 1, since at least one of the points (p_j,q_j) does not coincide with the vertex V_α. Again by Lemma 1, the main terms give the desired asymptotics. Q.E.D.

4 Asymptotics for the Mabuchi functional

The main additional difficulty in the Mabuchi functional is the occurrence of the term $\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\phi$, which cannot be avoided as above in the case of $F^0_{\omega_0}$ for complex curves. We shall handle such terms by Green's formula, combined with suitable approximations valid in the annulus (2.6). As a warm up, we show how this technique works in the case of $F^0_{\omega_0}$, left under the form

$$F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi) = -\frac{1}{2V}\int_X\phi(\omega_0+\omega_\phi) = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi V}\int_X\phi\,\partial\bar\partial\phi-\frac{1}{V}\int_X\phi\omega_0 \tag{4.1}$$

The following simple lemma is useful:

Lemma 2. *Let $T_1(z),\cdots,T_M(z)$ be holomorphic functions in a neighborhood of* 0 *which do not all vanish identically. Then there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}$ and a constant C so that*

$$|\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\,\ln(\sum_{l=1}^M\epsilon^{2q_l}|T_l(z)|^2)|\leq C\frac{1}{|z|^2} \tag{4.2}$$

for all $z\in\mathcal{O}$, and all $\epsilon>0$.

Proof of Lemma 2. The left hand side can be bounded by a linear combinations of terms of the form $\epsilon^{2(q_j+q_k)}|T_j|^2|\partial_z T_k|^2(\sum_{j=1}^M\epsilon^{2q_j}|T_j(z)|^2)^{-2}$. The desired estimate follows from the estimate $|\partial_z T_j(z)|\leq C_j|z|^{-1}|T_j(z)|$, for constants C_j independent of ϵ and $z\in\mathcal{O}$. Q.E.D.

4.1 The Futaki functional revisited

We return to the asymptotics of the expression (4.1). The term $\phi\,\omega_0$ has been treated before, so we concentrate on the term $\phi\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$. It is easy to see that we can again localize to a neighborhood $\{|z| < 1\}$ of a zero of $S_N(z)$, and on that neighborhood, replace $\phi(z)$ by the following more convenient function $\psi(z)$ defined by

$$\psi(z) = \ln\,|\sigma S|^2 = \ln\,(\sum_{j=0}^{M} |t|^{2q_j}|S_j(z)|^2) \tag{4.3}$$

Consider next the approximation of $\psi = \ln\,|\sigma S|^2$ given by (2.9). In view of Lemmas 1 and 2, the error terms in that approximation give rise only to bounded terms. Thus we can write

$$\int_{|t|^{m_\alpha}\le|z|<|t|^{m_\alpha}} \psi\,\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\psi\,\frac{d^2z}{2\pi} = \int_{|t|^{m_\alpha}\le|z|<|t|^{m_\alpha}} \ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\psi\,\frac{d^2z}{2\pi} + O(1) \tag{4.4}$$

The Green's formula can now be applied to the integral on the right hand side

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|t|^{m_\alpha}\le|z|<|t|^{m_\alpha}} \ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)(4\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\psi)\,\frac{d^2z}{2\pi}\\
&\quad = \oint_{|z|=|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}} \Big\{ \ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\psi - \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)\psi) \Big\}\frac{ds}{2\pi}\\
&\quad - \oint_{|z|=|t|^{m_\alpha}} \Big\{ \ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\psi\,ds - \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)\psi) \Big\}\frac{ds}{2\pi}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Here we made use of the fact that $\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\ln|z|^2 = 0$ on the annulus. Now the asymptotics of all the terms in the above expression at the boundaries $|z| = |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$ and $|z| = |t|^{m_\alpha}$ are very simple. For $|z| = |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$, they are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(z) &= -2(q_\alpha + m_{\alpha+1}p_\alpha)\ln\frac{1}{|t|} + O(1)\\
|z|\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\psi(z) &= \frac{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^+} 2p_l|u_l|^2}{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^+}|u_l|^2} + o(1)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2) &= -2(q_\alpha + m_{\alpha+1}p_\alpha)\ln\frac{1}{|t|} + O(1)\\
|z|\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2) &= 2p_\alpha
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

with similar asymptotics near $|z| = |t|^{m_\alpha}$. Thus the contribution of the contour integrals involving $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\psi$ is

$$-\ln\frac{1}{|t|}\left[2(q_\alpha + m_{\alpha+1}p_\alpha)\frac{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^+} 2p_l|u_l|^2}{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^+}|u_l|^2} - 2(q_\alpha + m_\alpha p_\alpha)\frac{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^-} 2p_l|u_l|^2}{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^-}|u_l|^2}\right] \tag{4.8}$$

Upon summation over all vertices α, these contributions telescope to 0. Indeed, the right face $F^+_{\alpha-1}$ is the same as the left face F^-_α, and $q_\alpha + m_\alpha p_\alpha = q_{\alpha-1} + m_\alpha p_{\alpha-1}$, since the vertex $(p_{\alpha-1}, p_{\alpha-1})$ is on the face F^-_α. There are also no end terms in the telescoping series, since there is no vertex to the left of $\alpha = 0$ and to the right of $\alpha = M$. We observe that this cancellation mechanism depends only on the fact that $\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)$ and $\ln(|t|^{2q_{\alpha-1}}|z|^{2p_{\alpha-1}}|u_{\alpha-1}|^2)$ have the same asymptotics on $|z| = |t|^{m_\alpha}$. It does not depend on the exact value of $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n}$, and reflects the fact that the asymptotics of $F^0_{\omega_0}$ are independent of the decomposition into annuli.

It remains to consider the contributions of the contour integrals involving ψ. Clearly they are for each α

$$\ln\frac{1}{|t|}\Big[2p_\alpha 2(q_\alpha + m_{\alpha+1}p_\alpha) - 2p_\alpha 2(q_\alpha + m_\alpha p_\alpha)\Big] = -4p_\alpha^2(m_\alpha - m_{\alpha+1})\,\ln\frac{1}{|t|} \tag{4.9}$$

agreeing with the earlier method.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1

We treat now the Mabuchi functional $\nu_{\omega_0}(\phi)$, concentrating first on the new term

$$\int_X \ln(\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\omega_t \tag{4.10}$$

It still suffices to consider the integrals over small neighborhoods of the isolated zeroes of $S_N(z)$. This is because $|\ln(\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,\omega_t| \leq C$ if $S_N(z)$ is bounded away from 0. Thus, we may restrict to a neighborhood $\{|z| < 1\}$ of a zero of $S_N(z)$, and express the above integral as

$$\int_X \ln(\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\omega_t = \int_X \ln(\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\psi\,\frac{2d^2z}{2\pi}, \tag{4.11}$$

in view of (2.5) and the definition (4.3) of $\psi(z)$. We decompose again $\{|z| < 1\}$ into annuli $|t|^{m_\alpha} \leq |z| < |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$. We consider separately three regions, when $|z| < |t|^{m_1}$, when $|t|^{m_\alpha} \leq |z| < |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq M-1$, and when $|t|^{m_M} \leq |z| < 1$.

The Region $|t|^{m_\alpha} \leq |z| < |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$

Let α be fixed with $1 \leq \alpha \leq M-1$. A first application of the Green's formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|t|^{m_\alpha}\leq|z|<|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}} (\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,(4\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\psi)\,\frac{d^2z}{2\pi} - \int_{|t|^{m_\alpha}\leq|z|<|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}} (4\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,\psi\,\frac{d^2z}{2\pi} \\
&= \oint_{|z|=|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}} (\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\psi\,\frac{ds}{2\pi} - \oint_{|z|=|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}} (\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,\psi\,\frac{ds}{2\pi} \\
&- \oint_{|z|=|t|^{m_\alpha}} (\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\psi\,\frac{ds}{2\pi} + \oint_{|z|=|t|^{m_\alpha}} (\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,\psi\,\frac{ds}{2\pi}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

The second double integral on the left hand side can be simplified, using the approximation for $\psi(z)$ in (2.9). Indeed, in local coordinates, ω_t is given by the expression (2.5). Lemma 2 implies then

$$|\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\,\ln(\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})| \leq C(1+\frac{1}{|z|^2}) \tag{4.13}$$

for some constant independent of z and $|t|$. Applying Lemma 1, we see that the error terms in the approximation (2.9) for ψ contribute only $O(1)$ terms to the integral

$$\int_{|t|^{m_\alpha}\leq|z|<|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}}(4\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,\psi\,\frac{d^2z}{2\pi} = \int_{|t|^{m_\alpha}\leq|z|<|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}}(4\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)\frac{d^2z}{2\pi}, \tag{4.14}$$

up to $O(1)$ terms. Now apply Green's theorem again to this new integral

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|t|^{m_\alpha}\leq|z|<|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}}(4\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)\frac{d^2z}{2\pi} &(4.15)\\
&\quad= \oint_{|z|=|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}}\Big\{(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)-(\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)\Big\}\,\frac{ds}{2\pi}\\
&\quad-\oint_{|z|=|t|^{m_\alpha}}\Big\{(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)-(\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ln(\epsilon^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)\Big\}\frac{ds}{2\pi}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have exploited the fact that the function $\ln|z|^2$ is harmonic in the annulus. Altogether, we have then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|t|^{m_\alpha}\leq|z|<|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0}\,(4\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\psi)\,\frac{d^2z}{2\pi} \;=\;& \oint_{|z|=|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}}\Big\{(\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\frac{\partial}{\partial n}(\psi-\ln(\epsilon^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2))\\
&-(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,(\psi-\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2))\Big\}\frac{ds}{2\pi}\\
&-\oint_{|z|=|t|^{m_\alpha}}\Big\{(\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\frac{\partial}{\partial n}(\psi-\ln(\epsilon^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2))\\
&-(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})\,(\psi-\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2))\Big\}\frac{ds}{2\pi}
\end{aligned}$$

As before, the evaluation of the asymptotics of all the contour integrals is easy. More specifically, we have near $|z|=|t|^{m_{al+1}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi-\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2) &= O(1)\\
|z|\frac{\partial}{\partial|z|}(\psi-\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)) &= \frac{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^+}2p_l|u_l|^2}{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^+}|u_l|^2}-2p_\alpha
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Here we have denoted the left and right (closed) faces of the Newton diagram meeting at the vertex (p_α,q_α) by F_α^- and F_α^+ respectively. Similarly, we have near $|z|=|t|^{m_\alpha}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi-\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2) &= O(1)\\
|z|\frac{\partial}{\partial|z|}(\psi-\ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)) &= \frac{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^-}2p_l|u_l|^2}{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^-}|u_l|^2}-2p_\alpha
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Again, in these formulas, the exact value of $|z|\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\psi$ will not be necessary because of its cancellation upon summing in α, but we listed it for the sake of completeness. It is also easy to see that

$$|z|\frac{\partial}{\partial |z|}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0} = O(1) \ \text{ for } |z| = |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}} \ \textit{or} \ |z| = |t|^{m_\alpha} \tag{4.18}$$

In view of the above asymptotics for $\psi - \ln(|t|^{2q_\alpha}|z|^{2p_\alpha}|u_\alpha|^2)$, it is clear that the terms involving $|z|\frac{\partial}{\partial|z|}(\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0})$ only contribute $O(1)$. Thus it remains only to derive the asymptotics for $\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0}$ at $|z| = |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$

$$\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0} = -\lambda_{\alpha+1}\ln\frac{1}{|t|} + O(1) \tag{4.19}$$

To determine λ_α, we recall the relative size of $|t|^{2q_j}|z|^{2p_j}$ at $|z| = |t|^{m_\alpha}$ and $|z| = |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$ given in (2.8). It follows that the dominating terms in the expression (2.5) for $\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\ln|\sigma S|^2$ correspond respectively to $(j,k) = (\alpha, \alpha+1)$ and $(j,k) = (\alpha-1, \alpha)$ for $|z| = |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$ and $|z| = |t|^{m_\alpha}$. This implies

$$\lambda_{\alpha+1} = -2\, m_{\alpha+1} \tag{4.20}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|t|^{m_\alpha}\le|z|<|t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}} &\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0}(\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\psi)\frac{d^2z}{2\pi}\\ &= \ln\frac{1}{|t|}\Big\{(\frac{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^+}p_l|u_l|^2}{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^+}|u_l|^2} - p_\alpha)m_{\alpha+1} - (\frac{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^-}p_l|u_l|^2}{\sum_{l\in F_\alpha^-}|u_l|^2} - p_\alpha)m_\alpha\Big\} + O(1)\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

This completes the analysis of the region $|t|^{m_\alpha} \le |z| < |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$, for $1 \le \alpha \le M-1$.

The Region $0 \le |z| < |t|^{m_1}$

This region required no separate treatment in the earlier case of F^0_ω. In the present case, there would be a Dirac contribution at the origin if the function ω_t/ω_0 also vanishes there. However, this cannot happen since $z \to [S_0(z), \cdots, S_N(z)]$ has been assumed to be an imbedding. This implies that ω_t cannot vanish, since it is the restriction to a smooth subvariety of the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbf{CP}^N$.

The Region $|t|^{m_M} \le |z| < 1$

This region also requires a separate argument, since at its outer boundary $|z| = 1$, the absolute value $|z|$ is merely small, without being of the order of a *positive* power of $|t|$. We shall show that, as in the model case of F^0_ω, the outer boundary $|z| = 1$ does not contribute, i.e.

$$\int_{|t|^{m_M}\le|z|<1}\ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0}\,(\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\psi)\,\frac{d^2z}{2\pi} = -\ln\frac{1}{|t|}\cdot(\frac{\sum_{l\in F_M^-}p_l|u_l|^2}{\sum_{l\in F_M^-}|u_l|^2} - p_M)m_M + O(1) \tag{4.22}$$

To do so, recall that the term $|S_N(z)|^2$ occurs without factors of $|t|$ in $\psi(z)$, i.e., $q_N = 0$. We consider two separate cases. In the first case, there are other indices j, besides $j = N$, for which $q_j = 0$. For two such $j < k$, the term $|S_j\partial_z S_k - S_k\partial_z S_j|^2$ occurs in the expression (2.5) for ω_t without any factor of $|t|$. We may also assume that it does not vanish near $|z| = 1$. Thus ω_t is bounded away from 0, uniformly in t, for $|t|$ small enough. Since $\sum_{j=0}^N |t|^{2q_j}|S_j(z)|^2$ is also bounded away from 0 for $|z| = 1$, the function $\ln(\omega_t/\omega_0)$ is then smooth and bounded uniformly. It follows that in the boundary terms resulting from the double application of the Green's formula, the boundary $|z| = 1$ contributes only $O(1)$ terms.

In the other case, we may assume that $q_j > 0$ for all $j < N$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the local coordinate z for X is chosen so that $S_N(z) = z^{p_N}$. Since we have then

$$\psi(z) - \ln|z|^{2p_N} = \ln(1 + \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |t|^{2q_j}|S_j(z)|^2}{|z|^{2p_N}}) \tag{4.23}$$

it follows immediately that this expression and its derivatives are smooth in z for $|z|$ near 1, and bounded by a strictly positive power of $|t|$. Since we also clearly have $|\ln(\omega_t/\omega_0)| \leq C\ln(1/|t|)$ for $|z|$ near 1, the contribution of the boundary integral $|z| = 1$ is again $O(1)$ in this case. This completes the estimates for the region $|t|^{m_N} \leq |z| < 1$.

The summation over all annuli $|t|^{m_\alpha} \leq |z| < |t|^{m_{\alpha+1}}$ produces as before a telescoping sum. All the contributions of $|z|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}$ cancel as before. Thus we are left only with the terms

$$\int_{|z|<1} \ln\frac{\omega_t}{\omega_0}\,\omega_t = 2\ln\frac{1}{|t|}\sum_{\alpha=1}^M p_\alpha(m_\alpha - m_{\alpha+1}) + O(1) \tag{4.24}$$

The sum on the right hand side can be rearranged as

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^M p_\alpha(m_\alpha - m_{\alpha+1}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^M m_\alpha(p_\alpha - p_{\alpha-1}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^M (q_{\alpha-1} - q_\alpha) = q_0 \tag{4.25}$$

in view of the fact that the m_α's are the slopes of the Newton diagram. We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1. The asymptotics of the remaining terms in the Mabuchi energy functional are given by

$$-\frac{1}{V}\int_X \phi\, Ric(\omega_0) = 2a_N\,(\ln\frac{1}{|t|})\,\frac{1}{V}\int_X Ric(\omega_0) + O(1) = 2a_N\mu\,\ln\frac{1}{|t|} + O(1) \tag{4.26}$$

and

$$-\mu F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi) = -\mu\{2a_N + \frac{1}{V}\sum_{\alpha=1}^M p_\alpha^2(m_\alpha - m_{\alpha+1})\}\,\ln\frac{1}{|t|} + O(1). \tag{4.27}$$

Assembling all the terms gives the asymptotics stated in Theorem 1. Q.E.D.

5 Remarks

In [11], the Mabuchi functional $\nu_{\omega_0}(\phi)$ has been related to two suitable norms $||\sigma_t \cdot Chow(X)||$ and $||\sigma_t \cdot Chow(X)||_{\#}$ for the Chow vector of the variety X, together with a current term associated to the singular locus Z_s of the Chow variety. This current term is of great interest, since it encodes delicate geometric properties of the imbedding of X into $\mathbf{CP}^N$. It is however difficult to evaluate directly. We wish to point out that Theorem 1 gives an upper bound for the current term in the case of complex curves X, since it is known that the norm $||\sigma_t \cdot Chow(X)||$ is proportional to $F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi)$, and $\ln || \cdot ||_{\#} \leq \ln || \cdot || + C$ for a suitable constant C.

References

[1] Chen, X., “On the lower bound of the Mabuchi energy and its application”, Int. Math. Res. Notices **12** (2000) 607-623

[2] Ding, W., “Remarks on the existence problem of positive Kähler-Einstein metrics”, Math. Ann. **282** (1988) 463-471

[3] Donaldson, S., “Scalar curvature and projective imbeddings I”, J.Diff. Geom. 59 (2001) 479-522

[4] Futaki, A. , “On a character of the automorphism group of a compact complex manifold”, Inventiones Math. **87** (1987), 655-660

[5] Mabuchi, T., “K-energy maps integrating Futaki invariants”, Tohoku Math. J. **38** (1986) 245-257.

[6] Mumford, D., “Stability of projective varieties”, L’Enseignement Mathematique, **23** (1977) 39-110.

[7] Paul, S. “Geometric analysis of Chow Mumford stability”, Princeton Ph.D. Thesis (2000).

[8] Phong, D.H. and E.M. Stein, “The Newton polyhedron and oscillatory integral operators”, Acta Math. **179** (1997) 107-152

[9] Phong, D.H., E.M. Stein, and J. Sturm, “Multilinear level set operators, oscillatory integral operators, and Newton polyhedra”, Math. Ann. **319** (2001) 573-596

[10] Phong, D.H. and Sturm, J., “Algebraic estimates, stability of local zeta functions, and uniform estimates for distribution functions”, Ann. of Math., vol. 152 (2000), 277-329

[11] Phong, D.H. and J. Sturm, “Stability, energy functionals and Kähler-Einstein metrics”, arXiv: math.DG/0203254, to appear in Commun. Analysis and Geometry.

[12] Tian, G., “Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature”, Inventiones Math. **130** (1997) 1-37

[13] Tian, G., “Bott-Chern forms and geometric stability”, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems **6** (2000) 211–220

[14] Yau, S.T., “On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampere equation I”, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978) 339-411

[15] Yau, S.T., “Open Problems in Geometry”, Proc. Symposia Pure Math. **54** (1993) 1-28

[16] Yau, S.T., “Review of Kähler-Einstein metrics in Algebraic Geometry”, Israel Math. Conf. Proceedings **9** (1996) 433-443

[17] Zhang, S., “Heights and reductions of semi-stable varieties”, Compositio Math. **104** (1996) 77-105